

TEMPLATE 11 · DEMONSTRATIVES

Demonstratives & Exhibits AI Disclosure

CASE CAPTION

Court	Case no.
Plaintiff(s)	Defendant(s)
Judge	Magistrate / related
Filing certified (title)	Dkt.

FILER

Attorney name	Bar no.	State
Firm	Role (e.g., lead, co-counsel)	
Client (or redacted id.)	Date of certification	

EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION

Exhibit no. / id.	Title or short description
-------------------	----------------------------

- Demonstrative aid (not admitted)
- Substantive exhibit (offered for admission)
- Summary under Federal Rule of Evidence 1006
- Animation, simulation, or recreation

AI CONTRIBUTION

Describe the AI's role in creation of the exhibit, including the underlying source data, model used, and the human-supervised processing steps that produced the final exhibit. Disclose this in sufficient detail to permit opposing counsel to assess Daubert and Federal Rule of Evidence 901 authentication challenges.

AI TOOL IDENTIFICATION

Identify each generative or analytical AI tool whose output contributed to the filing. Where a court rule or standing order carves out embedded legal-research AI (e.g., W.D.N.C. Standing Order, June 27, 2024), mark the carve-out column to preserve that position on the record.

Tool 1 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	
Tool 2 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	
Tool 3 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

AUTHENTICATION REPRESENTATIONS

- The underlying source data is admissible or, if not admitted, is of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the relevant field (Fed. R. Evid. 703).
- The processing steps that converted source data into the final exhibit are reproducible and have been documented in counsel's file.
- Where the exhibit is a simulation or recreation, it is substantially similar to the conditions or events depicted, and any departures from those conditions are noted on the exhibit.
- The exhibit does not depict any person, voice, or likeness fabricated by AI without the witness's knowing consent.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned counsel discloses the foregoing pursuant to the operative pretrial order and certifies that the representations above are true and correct to the best of counsel's information following reasonable inquiry. Counsel will produce, on reasonable request and subject to the operative protective order, the source data, intermediate processing artifacts, and verification materials necessary to permit opposing counsel and the court to evaluate the exhibit's reliability and admissibility.

Federal Rule of Evidence 707 (proposed)

Proposed Federal Rule of Evidence 707 (machine-generated opinion evidence) closed comment Feb. 16, 2026; Advisory Committee final vote scheduled May 7, 2026; earliest possible effective date Dec. 1, 2027. If proposed Rule 707 has taken effect at the time of trial, conform exhibit reliability disclosures to its requirements.

SIGNATURE

Signature

Printed name

Bar no.

Firm

Date

Email

Phone

This template is provided by Hintyr as a drafting aid and is not legal advice. Rules governing AI use in court filings change frequently and vary by court, judge, and jurisdiction. Before filing, verify the operative local rules, standing orders, and applicable ethics opinions. Hintyr makes no warranty as to fitness for a particular filing or court.