

TEMPLATE 6 · DRAFTING

Brief & Pleading AI Drafting Certification

CASE CAPTION

Court	Case no.
Plaintiff(s)	Defendant(s)
Judge	Magistrate / related
Filing certified (title)	Dkt.

FILER

Attorney name	Bar no.	State
Firm	Role (e.g., lead, co-counsel)	
Client (or redacted id.)	Date of certification	

DRAFTING METHODOLOGY

Identify which of the following best describes the role of generative AI in the preparation of this filing:

- AI-assisted drafting.** Counsel drafted the filing; AI was used for limited purposes (outlining, sentence-level rewriting, transitions, headnote summarization) and counsel reviewed, revised, and adopted any AI output.
- AI-generated initial draft, attorney-revised.** AI produced an initial draft of one or more sections; counsel substantially revised, fact-checked, and adopted the resulting text.
- AI-generated argument with attorney verification.** AI proposed legal arguments or authorities; counsel independently verified each authority and the accuracy of each proposition before adoption.
- No AI use.** No portion of this filing was prepared with generative AI assistance. (If checked, consider Template 4.)

AI TOOL IDENTIFICATION

Identify each generative or analytical AI tool whose output contributed to the filing. Where a court rule or standing order carves out embedded legal-research AI (e.g., *W.D.N.C. Standing Order, June 27, 2024*), mark the carve-out column to preserve that position on the record.

Tool 1 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Tool 2 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Tool 3 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Embedded-research carve-outs claimed (applicable where the operative court rule so provides):

- Westlaw Precision AI (embedded research)
- Lexis+ AI (embedded research)
- Thomson Reuters / Casetext CoCounsel (embedded research)
- Bloomberg Law or Fastcase (embedded research)

PORTIONS DRAFTED OR ASSISTED BY AI

Identify the discrete sections of the filing in which AI contributed. Use section headings, paragraph numbers, or page ranges. Avoid disclosure of prompts, system instructions, or iterative drafting rounds, which may risk work-product waiver.

ADOPTION & VERIFICATION

All AI-generated or AI-assisted text in this filing has been reviewed, revised where necessary, and adopted by the undersigned as counsel's own work product. Counsel takes full responsibility for the legal and factual accuracy of every portion of the filing, regardless of the role generative AI played in its preparation.

Counsel has reviewed each citation in this filing against a primary legal research database and has confirmed that each cited authority exists, is accurately quoted, and is cited for a proposition it supports. Every signing attorney has personally verified the authorities relied upon in the portions of the filing they drafted, reviewed, or adopted. Any output generated by a generative AI tool has been reviewed, revised where necessary, and adopted as counsel's own work product, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), Model Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1, 3.3, and 8.4(c), and ABA Formal Opinion 512 (July 29, 2024).

No confidential, client-identifying, or privileged information was entered into any generative AI tool other than a tool contractually committed to zero data retention and non-training use. Use of AI has not resulted in the disclosure of any confidential or business-proprietary information to any unauthorized party, consistent with Model Rule 1.6 and the duty of confidentiality owed to the client under the applicable jurisdiction's professional

conduct rules.

Any use of generative AI by nonlawyer personnel in connection with this filing was subject to direct attorney review and verification, consistent with Model Rule 5.3. Counsel has inquired of all experts and vendors whose work product is incorporated in this filing and has received representations that any AI tool use by such experts or vendors was subject to equivalent verification procedures.

Adoption doctrine

When counsel reviews, revises, and adopts AI output as counsel's own work, that output becomes counsel's filing and does not independently trigger disclosure obligations absent a specific court rule. Use this template's narrowest applicable methodology checkbox; over-disclosure may invite second-guessing without any compliance benefit.

SIGNATURE

Signature

Printed name

Bar no.

Firm

Date

Email

Phone

This template is provided by Hintyr as a drafting aid and is not legal advice. Rules governing AI use in court filings change frequently and vary by court, judge, and jurisdiction. Before filing, verify the operative local rules, standing orders, and applicable ethics opinions. Hintyr makes no warranty as to fitness for a particular filing or court.