

TEMPLATE 3 - STATE

State Court AI Certification

CASE CAPTION

Court	Case no.
Plaintiff(s)	Defendant(s)
Judge	Magistrate / related
Filing certified (title)	Dkt.

FILER

Attorney name	Bar no.	State
Firm	Role (e.g., lead, co-counsel)	
Client (or redacted id.)	Date of certification	

STATE PROFILE

State / profile
Illinois - explicit no-disclosure (Sup. Ct. Policy, eff. Jan. 1, 2025) ▼

If 'Other,' specify state and operative authority

Individual judge or county-level standing order (if any)

AI TOOL IDENTIFICATION

Identify each generative or analytical AI tool whose output contributed to the filing. Where a court rule or standing order carves out embedded legal-research AI (e.g., W.D.N.C. Standing Order, June 27, 2024), mark the carve-out column to preserve that position on the record.

Tool 1 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	
Tool 2 — vendor	Product / model	Version

Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed
Tool 3 — vendor	Product / model
	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed

Embedded-research carve-outs claimed (applicable where the operative court rule so provides):

- Westlaw Precision AI (embedded research)
- Lexis+ AI (embedded research)
- Thomson Reuters / Casetext CoCounsel (embedded research)
- Bloomberg Law or Fastcase (embedded research)

CERTIFICATION

Counsel has reviewed each citation in this filing against a primary legal research database and has confirmed that each cited authority exists, is accurately quoted, and is cited for a proposition it supports. Every signing attorney has personally verified the authorities relied upon in the portions of the filing they drafted, reviewed, or adopted. Any output generated by a generative AI tool has been reviewed, revised where necessary, and adopted as counsel's own work product, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), Model Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1, 3.3, and 8.4(c), and ABA Formal Opinion 512 (July 29, 2024).

No confidential, client-identifying, or privileged information was entered into any generative AI tool other than a tool contractually committed to zero data retention and non-training use. Use of AI has not resulted in the disclosure of any confidential or business-proprietary information to any unauthorized party, consistent with Model Rule 1.6 and the duty of confidentiality owed to the client under the applicable jurisdiction's professional conduct rules.

Any use of generative AI by nonlawyer personnel in connection with this filing was subject to direct attorney review and verification, consistent with Model Rule 5.3. Counsel has inquired of all experts and vendors whose work product is incorporated in this filing and has received representations that any AI tool use by such experts or vendors was subject to equivalent verification procedures.

Illinois special note

The Illinois Supreme Court Policy on AI (Jan. 1, 2025) provides that 'Disclosure of AI use should not be required in a pleading.' Filers in Illinois state court should generally use this template WITHOUT completing the AI Tool Identification block, which would exceed the policy's expectations and risk over-disclosure.

Delaware Chancery special note

Per Vice Chancellor Will in *Daniel Jaiyong An v. Archblock, Inc.*, 2025 WL 1024661 (Del. Ch. Apr. 4, 2025), the Court of Chancery will 'at a minimum... require notice of GenAI use, and insist that the responsible attorneys have reviewed and confirmed the validity and veracity of cited authority.' Complete the tool identification block AND the verification paragraph.

SIGNATURE

Signature

Printed name

Bar no.

Firm

Date

Email

Phone

DRAFTING NOTES

- *California: confirm the local court has adopted a policy under CRC 10.430. The Judicial Council Model Policy (Feb. 2025) is a safe harbor.*
- *New York: if the assigned justice has an individual standing order (e.g., Bannon, Weinmann, Hanlon, Maslow), complete the Federal template's judge profile field as well.*
- *Oregon: budget per-item sanctions exposure (\$500/citation, \$1,000/quotation) into verification practice; do not rely on this template alone.*
- *Florida: under In re Amendments to Rules Reg. Fla. Bar (Oct. 28, 2024), Rules 4-1.1, 4-1.6, 4-3.3, and 4-5.3 govern; the competence comment now expressly includes generative AI.*

This template is provided by Hintyr as a drafting aid and is not legal advice. Rules governing AI use in court filings change frequently and vary by court, judge, and jurisdiction. Before filing, verify the operative local rules, standing orders, and applicable ethics opinions. Hintyr makes no warranty as to fitness for a particular filing or court.