

TEMPLATE 2 · FEDERAL

Federal District Court AI Disclosure & Certification

CASE CAPTION

Court	Case no.
Plaintiff(s)	Defendant(s)
Judge	Magistrate / related
Filing certified (title)	Dkt.

FILER

Attorney name	Bar no.	State
Firm	Role (e.g., lead, co-counsel)	
Client (or redacted id.)	Date of certification	

OPERATIVE STANDING ORDER OR LOCAL RULE

Judge / rule profile

Judge Brantley Starr (N.D. Tex.) - certify non-use OR human verification ▼

If 'Other,' identify the judge and date of order

AI TOOL IDENTIFICATION

Identify each generative or analytical AI tool whose output contributed to the filing. Where a court rule or standing order carves out embedded legal-research AI (e.g., W.D.N.C. Standing Order, June 27, 2024), mark the carve-out column to preserve that position on the record.

Tool 1 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Tool 2 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Tool 3 — vendor	Product / model	Version
Deployment (cloud / on-prem / ZDR API)	Task performed	

Embedded-research carve-outs claimed (applicable where the operative court rule so provides):

- Westlaw Precision AI (embedded research)
- Lexis+ AI (embedded research)
- Thomson Reuters / Casetext CoCounsel (embedded research)
- Bloomberg Law or Fastcase (embedded research)

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to the operative standing order or local rule identified above, the undersigned discloses the following:

Portion of the filing prepared with AI assistance:

Description of AI tasks performed (research, drafting, review, citation checking, etc.):

Date range of AI use:

From

To

CERTIFICATION OF VERIFICATION

Counsel has reviewed each citation in this filing against a primary legal research database and has confirmed that each cited authority exists, is accurately quoted, and is cited for a proposition it supports. Every signing attorney has personally verified the authorities relied upon in the portions of the filing they drafted, reviewed, or adopted. Any output generated by a generative AI tool has been reviewed, revised where necessary, and adopted as counsel's own work product, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b), Model Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1, 3.3, and 8.4(c), and ABA Formal Opinion 512 (July 29, 2024).

Any use of generative AI by nonlawyer personnel in connection with this filing was subject to direct attorney review and verification, consistent with Model Rule 5.3. Counsel has inquired of all experts and vendors whose work product is incorporated in this filing and has received representations that any AI tool use by such experts or vendors was subject to equivalent verification procedures.

Padin / Cronan / Caraballo profile add-on

If the operative order requires identification of the specific GenAI program AND the specific portion drafted, complete both the AI Tool Identification block above and the 'Portion of the filing' field. Counsel should err toward narrower portion descriptions (e.g., paragraph numbers) rather than expansive ones.

SIGNATURE

Signature

Printed name

Bar no.

Firm

Date

Email

Phone

DRAFTING NOTES

- *Confirm the operative judge order is current — orders are amended and rescinded (e.g., Magistrate Judge Fuentes (N.D. Ill.) rescinded his order August 2025).*
- *If the matter is in S.D.N.Y. before Judge Subramanian, Judge Lin (N.D. Cal.), Judge Johnston (N.D. Ill.), or Magistrate Judge Dunst (E.D.N.Y.), only Rule 11 applies — use Template 1 (Universal) instead.*
- *Where W.D.N.C. or another rule carves out 'standard legal research platforms,' check the appropriate carve-outs and avoid disclosure of embedded research tool use.*
- *For N.D. Tex. local-rule filings without affirmative AI use, use Template 4 (Negative Certification) instead.*

This template is provided by Hintyr as a drafting aid and is not legal advice. Rules governing AI use in court filings change frequently and vary by court, judge, and jurisdiction. Before filing, verify the operative local rules, standing orders, and applicable ethics opinions. Hintyr makes no warranty as to fitness for a particular filing or court.